(b)(4) Statement previously made about the action. A party may obtain without the required showing a statement concerning the action or its subject matter previously made by that party. Upon request, a person not a party may obtain without the required showing a statement concerning about the action or its subject matter previously made by that person. If the request is refused, the person may move for a court order. The provisions of under Rule 37(a)(4) apply to the award of expenses incurred in relation to the motion. For purposes of this paragraph, a A statement previously made is (A) a written statement signed or otherwise adopted or approved by the person making it, or (B) a stenographic, mechanical, electrical, or other recording, or a transcription thereof, which is a substantially verbatim recital of an contemporaneously recorded oral statement by the person making it and contemporaneously recorded or a transcript thereof.

(b)(5) Trial preparation: Experts.

(b)(5)(A) A party may depose any person who has been identified as an expert whose opinions may be presented at trial. If a report is required under subdivision (a)(3)(B), any deposition shall be conducted within 60 days after the report is provided.

(b)(5)(B) A party may discover facts known or opinions held by an expert who has been retained or specially employed by another party in anticipation of litigation or preparation for trial and who is not expected to be called as a witness at trial, only as provided in Rule 35(b) or upon a showing of exceptional circumstances under which it is impracticable for the party seeking discovery to obtain facts or opinions on the same subject by other means.

(b)(5)(C) Unless manifest injustice would result,

(b)(5)(C)(i) The court shall require that the party seeking discovery pay the expert a reasonable fee for time spent in responding to discovery under Subdivision (b)(5) of this rule; and

(b)(5)(C)(ii) With respect to discovery obtained under Subdivision (b)(5)(A) of this rule the court may require, and with respect to discovery obtained under Subdivision (b)(5)(B) of this rule the court shall require, the party seeking discovery to pay the other party a fair portion of the fees and expenses reasonably incurred by the latter party in obtaining facts and opinions from the expert.

185 (b)(6)-(b)(5) Claims of Privilege or Protection of Trial Preparation Materials.

(b)(6)(A) (b)(5)(A) Information withheld. When If a party withholds discoverable information otherwise discoverable under these rules by claiming that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial preparation material prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial, the party shall make the claim expressly and shall describe the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced or disclosed in a manner that, without revealing the information itself privileged or protected, will enable other parties to assess the applicability of the privilege or protection evaluate the claim.

(b)(6)(B)-(b)(5)(B) Information produced. If a party produces information is produced in discovery that is subject to a the party claims of is privileged or of protection as trial-preparation material prepared in anticipation of litigation or for trial, the producing party making the claim may notify any receiving party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a receiving party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The producing party must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

- (c) Proportionality; Pprotective orders.
- 205 (c)(1) Discovery must be proportional to the case. The court may consider the 206 following factors:
- 207 (c)(1)(A) the amount in controversy;

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

- 208 (c)(1)(B) the complexity of the case;
- 209 (c)(1)(C) the importance of the issues;
- (c)(1)(D) the importance of the information;
- 211 (c)(1)(E) the relevance of the information;
- 212 (c)(1)(F) the parties' relative access to the information;
- 213 (c)(1)(G) the discovery already had in the case;
- (c)(1)(H) the expense of the discovery;
- 215 (c)(1)(I) the burden on the party requesting discovery;

(c)(1)(J) the burden on the party providing discovery: 216 217 (c)(1)(K) the needs of the case: 218 (c)(1)(L) whether the discovery limits allow a fair opportunity for discovery; 219 (c)(1)(M) whether the discovery is available from another source that is more 220 convenient, less burdensome, or less expensive: 221 (c)(1)(N) whether the discovery is cumulative of disclosures or other discovery; and 222 (c)(1)(O) any other factor identified by the court. 223 Upon motion by a (c)(2) A party or by the person from whom discovery is sought, 224 accompanied by may move for an order of protection from discovery. The movant shall 225 attach to the motion a copy of the request for discovery or the response which is at 226 issue and a certification that the movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to 227 confer with other affected parties in an effort to resolve the dispute without court action. 228 and for good cause shown, the The court in which the action is pending or alternatively, 229 on matters relating to a deposition, the court in the district where the deposition is to be 230 taken may make any order which justice requires to protect a party or person from 231 discovery being conducted in bad faith or from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, 232 or undue burden or expense, or to achieve proportionality, including one or more of the 233 following: 234 $\frac{(c)(1)}{(c)(2)(A)}$ that the discovery not be had: 235 $\frac{(c)(2)}{(c)(2)(B)}$ that the discovery may be had only on specified terms and 236 conditions, including a designation of the time or place; 237 (c)(2)(C) that a question about a statement or opinion of fact or the application of law 238 to fact not be answered until after designated discovery has been completed or until a 239 pretrial conference or other later time; 240 (c)(3)-(c)(2)(D) that the discovery may be had only by a method of discovery other 241 than that selected by the party seeking discovery; 242 $\frac{(c)(4)}{(c)(2)(E)}$ that certain matters not be inquired into, or that the scope of the 243 discovery be limited to certain matters; 244 (c)(5)–(c)(2)(F) that discovery be conducted with no one present except persons 245 designated by the court;

 $\frac{(c)(6)}{(c)(2)(G)}$ that a deposition after being sealed be opened only by order of the court;

(c)(7)-(c)(2)(H) that a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information not be disclosed or be disclosed only in a designated way;

(c)(8) (c)(2)(I) that the parties simultaneously file specified documents or information enclosed in sealed envelopes to be opened as directed by the court.

(c)(3) The court may allocate the costs, expenses and attorney fees of discovery to achieve proportionality.

(c)(4) If the order terminates a deposition, it shall be resumed only upon the order of the court in which the action is pending.

(c)(5) If the motion for a protective order is denied in whole or in part, the court may, on such terms and conditions as are just, order that any party or person provide or permit discovery. The provisions of Rule 37(a)(4) applyies to the award of expenses incurred in relation to the motion.

(d) Sequence and timing of discovery.

(d)(1) Discovery shall be in two stages. Initial fact discovery shall be completed within 150 days after the defendant's first disclosure is made and the parties shall follow the limits established in Rules 30, 33, 34 and 36. Methods of discovery may be used in any sequence and the fact that a party is conducting discovery shall not delay any other party's discovery. Except for cases exempt under subdivision paragraph (a)(2), except as authorized under these rules, or unless otherwise stipulated by the parties or ordered by the court, a party may not seek discovery from any source before the parties have met and conferred as required by subdivision (f). Unless otherwise stipulated by the parties or ordered by the court, fact discovery shall be completed within 240 days after the first answer is filed. Unless the court upon motion, for the convenience of parties and witnesses and in the interests of justice, orders otherwise, methods of discovery may be used in any sequence and the fact that a party is conducting discovery, whether by deposition or otherwise, shall not operate to delay any other party's discovery that party's initial disclosure obligations are satisfied.

(d)(2) To obtain discovery beyond the limits established by these rules, a party shall file:

(d)(2)(A) before the close of the initial fact discovery, a stipulated notice of extended discovery and a statement signed by the parties and lawyers that the additional discovery is necessary and proportionate and that each party has reviewed and approved a discovery budget; or

- (d)(2)(B) before the close of the initial fact discovery and after reaching the limits of initial discovery imposed by these rules, a motion for extended discovery and a statement signed by the party and lawyer that the additional discovery is necessary and proportionate and that the party has reviewed and approved a discovery budget.
- (e) Supplementation of responses. Standard for disclosure or response; disclosure or response by an organization; failure to disclose; initial and supplemental disclosures and responses. A party who has made a disclosure under subdivision (a) or responded to a request for discovery with a response is under a duty to supplement the disclosure or response to include information thereafter acquired if ordered by the court or in the following circumstances:
- 291 (e)(1) A party is under a duty to supplement at appropriate intervals disclosures
 292 under subdivision (a) if the
- 293 (e)(1) A party shall make disclosures and responses to discovery based on the information then known or reasonably available to the party.
 - (e)(2) If the party providing disclosure or responding to discovery is a corporation, partnership, association, or governmental agency, the party shall act through one or more officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons.
 - (e)(3) A party is not excused from making disclosures or responses because the party has not completed investigating the case or because the party challenges the sufficiency of another party's disclosures or responses or because another party has not made disclosures or responses.
 - (e)(4) If a party fails to disclose or to timely supplement a disclosure or response to discovery, that party may not use the undisclosed witness, document or material at any hearing or trial unless the failure is harmless or the party shows good cause for the failure.
- 306 (e)(5) If a party learns that in some material respect the information disclosed a disclosure or response is incomplete or incorrect and if in some important way, the party

must timely provide the additional or corrective information if it has not otherwise been made known to the other parties during the discovery process or in writing. With respect to testimony of an expert from whom a report is required under subdivision (a)(3)(B) the duty extends both to information contained in the report and to information provided through a deposition of the expert. The supplemental disclosure or response must state why the additional or correct information was not previously provided.

(e)(2) A party is under a duty seasonably to amend a prior response to an interrogatory, request for production, or request for admission if the party learns that the response is in some material respect incomplete or incorrect and if the additional or corrective information has not otherwise been made known to the other parties during the discovery process or in writing.

(f) Discovery and scheduling conference.

The following applies to all cases not exempt under subdivision (a)(2), except as otherwise stipulated or directed by order.

(f)(1) The parties shall, as soon as practicable after commencement of the action, meet in person or by telephone to discuss the nature and basis of their claims and defenses, to discuss the possibilities for settlement of the action, to make or arrange for the disclosures required by subdivision (a)(1), to discuss any issues relating to preserving discoverable information and to develop a stipulated discovery plan. Plaintiff's counsel shall schedule the meeting. The attorneys of record shall be present at the meeting and shall attempt in good faith to agree upon the discovery plan.

(f)(2) The plan shall include:

(f)(2)(A) what changes should be made in the timing, form, or requirement for disclosures under subdivision (a), including a statement as to when disclosures under subdivision (a)(1) were made or will be made;

(f)(2)(B) the subjects on which discovery may be needed, when discovery should be completed, whether discovery should be conducted in phases and whether discovery should be limited to particular issues;

(f)(2)(C) any issues relating to preservation, disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information, including the form or forms in which it should be produced;

(f)(2)(D) any issues relating to claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, including - if the parties agree on a procedure to assert such claims after production - whether to ask the court to include their agreement in an order;

(f)(2)(E) what changes should be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under these rules, and what other limitations should be imposed;

(f)(2)(F) the deadline for filing the description of the factual and legal basis for allocating fault to a non-party and the identity of the non-party; and

(f)(2)(G) any other orders that should be entered by the court.

(f)(3) Plaintiff's counsel shall submit to the court within 14 days after the meeting and in any event no more than 60 days after the first answer is filed a proposed form of order in conformity with the parties' stipulated discovery plan. The proposed form of order shall also include each of the subjects listed in Rule 16(b)(1)-(8), except that the date or dates for pretrial conferences, final pretrial conference and trial shall be scheduled with the court or may be deferred until the close of discovery. If the parties are unable to agree to the terms of a discovery plan or any part thereof, the plaintiff shall and any party may move the court for entry of a discovery order on any topic on which the parties are unable to agree. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, the presumptions established by these rules shall govern any subject not included within the parties' stipulated discovery plan.

(f)(4) Any party may request a scheduling and management conference or order under Rule 16(b).

(f)(5) A party joined after the meeting of the parties is bound by the stipulated discovery plan and discovery order, unless the court orders on stipulation or motion a modification of the discovery plan and order. The stipulation or motion shall be filed within a reasonable time after joinder.

(g) (f) Signing of discovery requests, responses, and objections. Every disclosure, request for discovery or exponse to a request for discovery or and objection thereto made by a party to a request for discovery shall be in writing and signed by at least one attorney of record or by the party if the party is not represented, whose address shall be stated. The signature of the attorney or party constitutes is a certification that the person has read the request, response, or objection and that to the best of the person's

knowledge, information, and belief formed after reasonable inquiry it is: (1) consistent with these rules and warranted by existing law or a good faith argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law; (2) not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation; and (3) not unreasonable or unduly burdensome or expensive, given the needs of the case, the discovery already had in the case, the amount in controversy, and the importance of the issues at stake in the litigation under Rule 11. If a request, or response, or objection is not signed, it shall be stricken unless it is signed promptly after the omission is called to the attention of the party making the request, response, or objection, and a party shall not be obligated does not need to take any action with respect to it until it is signed.

If a certification is made in violation of the rule, the court, upon motion or upon its own initiative,—shall impose upon the person who made the certification, the party on whose behalf the request, response, or objection is made, or both, an appropriate sanction, which may include an order to pay the amount of the reasonable expenses incurred because of the violation, including a reasonable attorney fee may take any action authorized by Rule 11 or Rule 37(b)(2).

(h) (g) Deposition where in action pending in another state. Any party to an action or proceeding in another state may take the deposition of any person within this state, in the same manner and subject to the same conditions and limitations as if such action or proceeding were pending in this state, provided that in order to obtain a subpoena the notice of the taking of such. Notice of the deposition shall be filed with the clerk of the court of the county in which the person whose deposition is to be taken resides or is to be served, and provided further that all matters arising during the taking of such deposition which by the rules are. Matters required to be submitted to the court shall be submitted to the court in the county where the deposition is being taken.

(i) (h) Filing.

(i)(1) Unless otherwise Except as required by these rules or ordered by the court, a party shall not file with the court a disclosures, or a requests for discovery with the court or a response to a request for discovery, but shall file only the original certificate of service stating that the disclosures, or requests for discovery have or response has

been served on the other parties and the date of service. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, a party shall not file a response to a request for discovery with the court, but shall file only the original certificate of service stating that the response has been served on the other parties and the date of service. Except as provided in Rule 30(f)(1), Rule 32 or unless otherwise ordered by the court, depositions shall not be filed with the court.

(i)(2) A party filing a motion under subdivision (c) or a motion under Rule 37(a) shall

(i)(2) A party filing a motion under subdivision (c) or a motion under Rule 37(a) shall attach to the motion a copy of the request for discovery or the response which is at issue.

Advisory Committee Notes

- Suggested by the Institute. Statement of concept only.
- 411 Rule 26B. Disclosure and discovery in personal injury actions.

In actions claiming damages for personal injuries, the claimant shall disclose the names and addresses of health care providers who have provided care for the condition for which damages are sought within five years prior to the date of injury, and shall produce all records from those providers or shall provide a waiver allowing the opposing party to obtain those records, subject to automatic protective provisions that restrict the use of the materials to the instant litigation. The defending party shall provide copies of all applicable insurance policies, and any insurance claims documents that address the facts of the case.

Rule 26C. Disclosure and discovery in employment actions.

In actions seeking damages for loss of employment, the claimant shall disclose the names and addresses of employers for five years prior to the date of disclosure, all documents reflective of claimant's efforts to find employment following departure from the defending party's employ; and written waivers allowing the defending party to obtain the claimant's personnel files from each such employer, subject to automatic protective provisions that restrict the use of the materials to the instant litigation. The defending party shall produce the claimant's personnel files and all applicable personnel policies and employee handbooks;

Draft: January 27, 2010

431	Rule 26D. Disclosure and discovery in nnnnn.
432	
433	Advisory committee note: (b)(1) relevant means evidentiary relevance 401 and 402.
434	

Advisory Committee Note—URCP 26(b)

(b) Scope of Discovery. The 2010 amendment narrows the scope of discovery. A party is no longer entitled to discover information merely because it appears calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Rather, parties may discover any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the claim or defense of any party.

The term "relevant" under this rule has the same meaning as in Rule 401 of the Utah Rules of Evidence. Thus, information is discoverable if it has any tendency to make a fact of consequence to a claim or defense, more probable or less probable than it would be without the information.

Upon request, the Court may order discovery of any matter relevant to the "subject matter of the action." This provision broadens the "relevant to a claim or defense" standard. For example, it would permit a party to discover information relevant to impeachment of a witness.

The Court has authority to limit discovery requests which are not proportional to the case, even though the request seeks information relevant to a claim or defense, or to the subject matter of the action. This limitation on discovery is patterned after the Rules of Evidence. Rule 403 permits the Court to exclude relevant evidence to prevent, among other things, undue delay, waste of time, or needless presentation of cumulative evidence. In the same way, the proportionality requirement in Subsection (c) may limit the scope of otherwise discoverable information.

Fran & Derek:

In reviewing the advisory committee note that Derek sent and re-reading our draft rule, I am concerned that despite our best efforts to date we may not have managed to limit discovery as well as we might. I would like to outline here some concerns about the current revision to the proposed Rule 26 and advisory note and to make a few suggestions on some changes.

First, I am not sure that the adoption of a Rule 401 standard of relevance gets us very far. Moore's Federal Practice indicates that "information sought for the purpose of impeachment is almost always relevant to the claims or defenses the parties have asserted," given that "the credibility of the testimony of live witnesses" is often "at the heart of the proof of a party's claim or defense." (Moore's s 26.41[9][a]) The Rule 401 standard is a very low bar; impeachment evidence seems to clearly meet that standard. (Id. (citing Weinstein's Federal Evidence))

In fact, there may be some instances in which the articulation of a Rule 401 standard is confusing and unhelpful. Our rule, for example, follows the federal rule in making "the identity and location" of witnesses and documents fair game in discovery. The mere existence or location of witnesses or documents would not be relevant under Rule 401, however, so the articulation of a Rule 401 standard may cause confusion. The longstanding provision allowing discovery of matter that is "reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence" seems aimed at this kind of discovery. I understand and agree with the goal of limiting discovery, but deleting this provision

while keeping the notion of the discoverability of the identity and location of witnesses and documents may not help, since without this provision the court may think it lacks the capacity to curtail such discovery as unreasonable. I suggest that we put this provision back into the rule and rephrase it to allow discovery that is "reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and consistent with the standards of proportionality set forth herein."

As Derek and I discussed on the phone yesterday, I think it is really the "proportionality" provision of our draft rule that does most of the work, but I'm not sure that our draft does a good job of articulating that standard in a useful way. Maybe I'm missing something, but it seems to me that the proportionality standard belongs in subsection (b) where we define the scope of discovery, not (or at least not just) in subsection (c). I suggest that we provide a relevance standard incorporating the proportionality principles that applies both to discovery regarding claims and defenses and regarding the subject matter of the action. After all, I don't think the distinction between relevance to claims and defenses and relevance to subject matter has provided a very useful tool for limiting discovery in the federal courts, since most everything relevant to the subject matter can be deemed relevant to claims and defenses. Specifically, I suggest that we provide in (b) that "Parties may discover any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the claim or defense of any party and consistent with the standards of proportionality set forth in sub-section (c)." (We could also add a similar standard for discovery relevant to subject matter on order of the court.)

As for the proportionality standard itself, it seems to me that we should give some thought to defining what we mean by "proportionality." The federal rule says that a court should limit the frequency or extent of discovery if it determines that (i) the discovery is unreasonably cumulative or duplicative or can be obtained from another source that is more convenient; (ii) the party seeking discovery has had ample opportunity to obtain the information by discovery in the action; or (iii) the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit, considering the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the parties' resources, the importance of the issues at stake in the action, and the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues." Our approach simply suggests that "proportionality" is the goal and lists a bunch of factors. There are some aspects of the federal standard that I prefer, including the fact that it is more specific in how the factors relate to each other.

I suggest that we take that aspect of the federal rule but retain some of the aspects of our rule that make it harder to abuse the discovery process. For example, I like the idea of using proportionality to define the scope of discovery instead of as a standard the judge can use to limit discovery. This would allow the recipient of a discovery request to object on proportionality grounds and force the issue that way. (The downside to that is the satellite litigation problem, but I tend to think that the benefits would outweigh the costs.) At the same time, I think we could soften some of the federal language to make it more useful for our purposes. Here is some proposed language:

"A discovery request may be objectionable under principles of proportionality if (i) the subject of the request is unreasonably cumulative or duplicative or can be obtained from another source that is more convenient; (ii) the party seeking discovery has had

sufficient opportunity to obtain the information by discovery in the action or otherwise; or (iii) the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit, considering the needs of the case, the amount in controversy, the complexity of the case, the parties' resources, the importance of the issues, and the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues."

I have put the additional language I am proposing to add to the federal rule in bold. It seems to me that the other factors we had listed in the prior proposed version of the rule are encompassed by other factors and are unnecessary.

I hope that is of some help. Thanks for reading this far. Best,

Tom

Rule 26A. Disclosure in domestic relations actions.

1

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- (a) Scope. This rule applies to domestic relations actions, including divorce,
 temporary separation, separate maintenance, parentage and modification. This rule
 does not apply to adoptions, enforcement of prior orders, cohabitant abuse protective
 orders, child protective orders and civil stalking injunctions.
 - (b) Time for disclosure. Without waiting for a discovery request, petitioner in all domestic relations actions shall disclose to respondent the documents required in this rule within 40 days after service of the petition unless respondent defaults or consents to entry of the decree. The respondent shall disclose to petitioner the documents required in this rule within 40 days after respondent's answer is due.
- (c) Financial Declaration. Each party shall disclose to all other parties a fully
 completed court-approved Financial Declaration and attachments. Each party shall
 attach to the Financial Declaration the following:
 - (c)(1) For every item and amount listed in the Financial Declaration, excluding monthly expenses, the producing party shall attach copies of statements verifying the amounts listed on the Financial Declaration that are reasonably available to the party.
 - (c)(2) For the two tax years before the petition was filed, complete federal and state income tax returns, including Form W-2 and supporting tax schedules and attachments, filed by or on behalf of that party or by or on behalf of any entity in which the party has a majority or controlling interest, including, but not limited to, Form 1099 and Form K-1 with respect to that party.
- (c)(3) Pay stubs and other evidence of all earned and un-earned income for the 12
 months before the petition was filed.
- (c)(4) All loan applications and financial statements prepared or used by the party
 within the 12 months before the petition was filed.
- (c)(5) Documents verifying the value of all real estate in which the party has an interest, including, but not limited to, the most recent appraisal, tax valuation and refinance documents.
- (c)(6) All statements for the 3 months before the petition was filed for all financial
 accounts, including, but not limited to checking, savings, money market funds,
 certificates of deposit, brokerage, investment, retirement, regardless of whether the

32 account has been closed including those held in that party's name, jointly with another 33 person or entity, or as a trustee or quardian, or in someone else's name on that party's 34 behalf. (c)(7) If the foregoing documents are not reasonably available or are in the 35 36 possession of the other party, the party disclosing the Financial Declaration shall 37 estimate the amounts entered on the Financial Declaration, the basis for the estimation 38 and an explanation why the documents are not available. 39 (d) Certificate of Service. Each party shall file a Certificate of Service with the court 40 certifying that he or she has provided the Financial Declaration and attachments to the 41 other party in compliance with this rule. 42 (e) Exempted agencies. Agencies of the State of Utah are not subject to these 43 disclosure requirements. 44 (f) Sanctions. Failure to fully disclose all assets and income in the Financial 45 Declaration and attachments may subject the non-disclosing party to sanctions under 46 Rule 37 including an award of non-disclosed assets to the other party, attorney's fees or 47 other sanctions deemed appropriate by the court. 48 (g) Failure of a party to comply with this rule does not preclude any other party from

obtaining a default judgment, proceeding with the case, or seeking other relief from the

(h) Notice of the requirements of this rule shall be served on the Respondent and all

53 Advisory Committee Notes

49

50

51

52

court.

54 (c)(3): Refer to statutory definition

joined parties with the initial petition.

Draft: November 19, 2009

1 Rule 29. Stipulations regarding <u>disclosure and</u> discovery procedure.

- Unless the court orders otherwise, the The parties may by written stipulation
- (1) provide that depositions may be taken before any person, at any time or place, upon any notice, and in any manner and when so taken may be used like other depositions, and
- (2) modify the procedures provided by these rules for disclosure and discovery, except that stipulations by filing, before the close of the initial fact discovery, a stipulated notice of extended discovery and a statement signed by the parties and lawyers that the additional discovery is necessary and proportionate and that each party has reviewed and approved a discovery budget. Stipulations extending the time for or limits of disclosure or discovery require the court approval of the court if they the extension would interfere with the time set a court order for completion of discovery or with the date of a hearing or trial.
- Advisory Committee Notes

Rule 30. Depositions upon oral examination.

- (a) When depositions may be taken; \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\) when leave required; no deposition of expert witnesses. A party may depose a party or witness by oral or written questioning. A witness may not be deposed more than once. A person who may present evidence under Rules 702, 703, or 705 of the Utah Rules of Evidence may not be deposed.
- (a)(1) A party may take the testimony of any person, including a party, by deposition upon oral examination without leave of court except as provided in paragraph (2). The attendance of witnesses may be compelled by subpoena as provided in Rule 45.
- (a)(2) A party must obtain leave of court, which shall be granted to the extent consistent with the principles stated in Rule 26(b)(3), if the person to be examined is confined in prison or if, without the written stipulation of the parties:
- (a)(2)(A) a proposed deposition would result in more than ten depositions being taken under this rule or Rule 31 by the plaintiffs, or by the defendants, or by third-party defendants:
 - (a)(2)(B) the person to be examined already has been deposed in the case; or
- (a)(2)(C) a party seeks to take a deposition before the time specified in Rule 26(d) unless the notice contains a certification, with supporting facts, that the person to be examined is expected to leave the state and will be unavailable for examination unless deposed before that time. The party or party's attorney shall sign the notice, and the signature constitutes a certification subject to the sanctions provided by Rule 11.
- (b) Notice of <u>examination deposition</u>; general requirements; special notice; non-stenographic recording; production of documents and things; deposition of organization; deposition by telephone; written questions.
- (b)(1) A—<u>The_party_desiring_to_take_the_deposition_of_any_person_upon_oral_examination_deposing_a_witness_shall give reasonable notice in writing to every other party_to_the_action. The notice shall state the <u>date, time and place for taking</u> the deposition and the name and address of each—<u>person_to_be_examined_witness.</u>, if known, and, if <u>lf_the name of a witness_is</u> not known, a <u>general description sufficient_the notice shall describe the witness sufficiently to identify the person or <u>state_the particular</u> class or group to which the person belongs. <u>If a subpoena duces tecum is to be served on the person to be examined, the designation of the materials to be produced as set to be produced as to be pro</u></u></u>

forth in the subpoena shall be attached to or included in the notice. The notice shall designate any documents and tangible things to be produced by a witness. The notice shall designate the officer who will conduct the deposition.

(b)(2) The party taking the deposition shall state in the notice shall designate the method by which the testimony shall deposition will be recorded. Unless the court orders otherwise, it With prior notice to the officer, witness and other parties, any party may designate a recording method in addition to the method designated in the notice. Depositions may be recorded by sound, sound-and-visual, or stenographic means, and the party taking the deposition designating the recording method shall bear the cost of the recording. The appearance or demeanor of witnesses or attorneys shall not be distorted through recording techniques.

(b)(3) With prior notice to the deponent and other parties, any party may designate another method to record the deponent's testimony in addition to the method specified by the person taking the deposition. The additional record or transcript shall be made at that party's expense unless the court otherwise orders.

(b)(4) Unless otherwise agreed by the parties, a (b)(3) A deposition shall be conducted before an officer appointed or designated under Rule 28 and shall begin with a statement on the record by the officer that includes (A) the officer's name and business address; (B) the date, time and place of the deposition; (C) the name of the deponent witness; (D) the administration of the oath or affirmation to the deponent witness; and (E) an identification of all persons present. If the deposition is recorded other than stenographically, the officer shall repeat items (A) through (C) at the beginning of each unit of tape or other the recording medium. The appearance or demeanor of deponents or attorneys shall not be distorted through camera or sound-recording techniques. At the end of the deposition, the officer shall state on the record that the deposition is complete and shall set forth state any stipulations made by counsel concerning the custody of the transcript or recording and the exhibits, or concerning other pertinent matters.

(b)(5) (b)(4) The notice to a party deponent witness may be accompanied by a request made in compliance with under Rule 34 for the production of documents and tangible things at the taking of the deposition. The procedure of Rule 34 shall apply to

the request. The attendance of a nonparty witness may be compelled by subpoena
under Rule 45. Documents and tangible things to be produced shall be stated in the
subpoena.

(b)(6) (b)(5) A party may in the notice and in a subpoena name as the deponent witness a public or private corporation, a partnership, an association, or a governmental agency, and describe with reasonable particularity the matters on which examination questioning is requested. In that event, and direct the organization so named shall to designate one or more officers, directors, managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its behalf and may set forth. The organization shall state, for each person designated, the matters on which the person will testify. A subpoena shall advise a nonparty organization of its duty to make such a designation. The persons so designated shall testify as to matters known or reasonably available to the organization. This Subdivision (b)(6) does not preclude taking a deposition by any other procedure authorized in these rules.

(b)(7) The parties may stipulate in writing or the court may upon motion order that a (b)(6) A deposition may be taken by remote electronic means. For the purposes of this rule and Rules 28(a), 37(b)(1), and 45(d), a A deposition taken by remote electronic means is considered to be taken at the place where the deponent witness is to answers questions.

(b)(7) A party taking a deposition using written question shall include the written questions with the notice or subpoena and serve them on:

(b)(7)(A) the parties:

(b)(7)(B) the witness if that person is not a party; and

(b)(7)(C) the officer.

(b)(7)(D) Within 14 days after the questions are served, a party may serve cross questions. Within 7 days after being served with cross questions, a party may serve redirect questions. Within 7 days after being served with redirect questions, a party may serve recross questions.

- (b)(7)(E) The officer shall ask any written questions.
- 92 (c) Examination and cross-examination; record of examination; oath; objections.

(c)(1) Examination and cross-examination Questioning of witnesses may proceed as permitted at the trial under the provisions of the Utah Rules of Evidence, except Rules 103 and 615. The officer before whom the deposition is to be taken shall put the witnesses on oath or affirmation and shall personally, or by someone acting under the officer's direction and in the officer's presence, record the testimony of the witness.

(c)(2) All objections made at the time of the examination to the qualifications of the officer taking the deposition, to the manner of taking it, to the evidence presented, or to the conduct of any party and any other objection to the proceedings shall be noted by the officer upon the record of the deposition recorded, but the examination questioning shall proceed, with and the testimony being taken subject to the objections. In lieu of participating in the oral examination, parties may serve written questions in a sealed envelope on the party taking the deposition, and the party taking the deposition shall transmit them to the officer, who shall propound them to the witness and record the answers verbatim.

(d) Schedule and duration; motion to terminate or limit examination.

(d)(1) Any objection to evidence during a deposition shall be stated concisely and in a non-argumentative and non-suggestive manner. A person may instruct a deponent witness not to answer only when necessary to preserve a privilege, to enforce a limitation on evidence directed by the court, or to present a motion for a protective order under paragraph (4) Rule 26(c). Upon demand of the objecting party or witness, the deposition shall be suspended for the time necessary to make a motion. The party taking the deposition may complete or adjourn the deposition before moving for an order to compel discovery under Rule 37.

(d)(2) Unless otherwise authorized by the court or stipulated by the parties, a deposition is limited to one day of seven hours. The court must allow additional time consistent with Rule 26(b)(2) if needed for a fair examination of the deponent or if the deponent or another person, or other circumstance, impedes or delays the examination.

(d)(3) If the court finds that any impediment, delay, or other conduct has frustrated the fair examination of the deponent, it may impose upon the persons responsible an appropriate sanction, including the reasonable costs and attorney fees incurred by any parties as a result thereof.

(d)(4) At any time during the taking of the deposition, on motion of a party or of the deponent and upon a showing that the examination is being conducted in bad faith or in such manner as unreasonably to annoy, embarrass, or oppress the deponent or party, the court in which the action is pending or the court in the district where the deposition is being taken may order the officer conducting the examination to cease forthwith from taking the deposition, or may limit the scope and manner of the taking of the deposition as provided in Rule 26(c). If the order made terminates the examination, it shall be resumed thereafter only upon the order of the court in which the action is pending. Upon demand of the objecting party or deponent, the taking of the deposition shall be suspended for the time necessary to make a motion for an order. The provisions of Rule 37(a)(4) apply to the award of expenses incurred in relation to the motion.

- (d) Limits. During initial fact discovery, each side (plaintiffs collectively, defendants collectively, and third-party defendants collectively) is limited to 20 hours of deposition by oral questioning. Oral questioning of a nonparty shall not exceed four hours, and oral questioning of a party shall not exceed seven hours. A deposition by written questioning shall not cumulatively exceed 15 questions, including discrete subparts, by the plaintiffs collectively, by the defendants collectively or by third-party defendants collectively.
- (e) Submission to witness; changes; signing. If requested by the deponent or a party before completion of the deposition, the deponent shall have 30 Within 28 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available, in which to review the transcript or recording and, if there are changes in form or substance, to a witness may sign a statement reciting such of changes to the form or substance of the transcript or recording and the reasons given by the deponent for making them for the changes. The officer shall indicate in the certificate prescribed by subdivision (f)(1) whether any review was requested and, if so, shall append any changes timely made by the deponent during the period allowed witness.
 - (f) Record of deposition; certification and delivery by officer; exhibits; copies.
- (f)(1) The transcript or other recording of the deposition made in accordance with this rule shall be the record of the deposition. The officer shall record the deposition or direct another person present to record the deposition. The officer shall sign a certificate, to accompany the record of the deposition, that the witness was duly sworn

under oath or affirmation and that the transcript or other recording record is a true record of the testimony given by the witness deposition. Unless otherwise ordered by the court, the The officer shall keep a copy of the record. The officer shall securely seal the record of the deposition in an envelope endorsed with the title of the action and marked "Deposition of (name). Do not open." and shall promptly send the sealed record of the deposition to the attorney or the party who arranged for the transcript or other record to be made designated the recording method. If the party taking the deposition is not represented by an attorney, the record of the deposition shall be sent to the clerk of the court for filing unless otherwise ordered by the court. An attorney or party receiving the record of the deposition shall store it under conditions that will protect it against loss, destruction, tampering, or deterioration.

(f)(2) Decuments-Every party may inspect and copy documents and things produced for inspection during the examination of the witness shall, upon and must have a fair opportunity to compare copies and originals. Upon the request of a party, documents and things produced for inspection shall be marked for identification and annexed added to the record of the deposition and may be inspected and copied by any party., except that, if If the person producing the materials desires witness wants to retain them the originals, that person may (A)-shall offer copies the originals to be copied, marked for identification and annexed added to the record of the deposition and to serve thereafter as originals, if the person affords to all parties fair opportunity to verify the copies by comparison with the originals, or (B) offer the originals to be marked for identification, after giving to each party an opportunity to inspect and copy them, in which event the originals may be used in the same manner as if annexed to the record of the deposition. Any party may move for an order that the originals be annexed to and returned with the record of the deposition to the court, pending final disposition of the ease.

(f)(3) Unless otherwise ordered by the court or agreed by the parties, the officer shall retain stenographic notes of any depositions taken stenographically or a copy of the recording of any deposition taken by another method. Upon payment of reasonable charges therefor, the officer shall furnish a copy of the record of the deposition to any party or to the deponent witness. Any party or the deponent may arrange for a

transcription to be made from the recording of a deposition taken An official transcript of a recording made by non-stenographic means shall be prepared under Utah Rule of Appellate Procedure 11(e).

(g) Failure to attend or to serve subpoena; expenses.

(g)(1)—If the party giving the notice of the taking of a deposition fails to attend and proceed therewith or fails to serve a subpoena upon a witness who fails to attend, and another party attends in person or by attorney—pursuant to the notice, the court may order the party giving the notice to pay to such the other party the reasonable costs, expenses incurred by him and his attorney in attending, including reasonable attorney's fees and attorney fees incurred.

(g)(2) If the party giving the notice of the taking of a deposition of a witness fails to serve a subpoena upon him and the witness because of such failure does not attend, and if another party attends in person or by attorney because he expects the deposition of that witness to be taken, the court may order the party giving the notice to pay to such other party the reasonable expenses incurred by him and his attorney in attending, including reasonable attorney's fees.

Advisory Committee Notes

Rule 31. Depositions upon written questions.

- 2 (a) Serving questions; notice.
- (a)(1) A party may take the testimony of any person, including a party, by deposition 3 4 upon written questions without leave of court except as provided in paragraph (2), an opposing yThe attendance of witnesses may be compelled by the use of subpoena as
- 5
- 6 provided in Rule 45.

1

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- (a)(2) A party must obtain leave of court, which shall be granted to the extent consistent with the principles stated in Rule 26(b)(2), if the person to be examined is confined in prison or if, without the written stipulation of the parties,
- (a)(2)(A) a proposed deposition would result in more than ten depositions being taken under this rule or Rule 30 by the plaintiffs, or by the defendants, or by third-party 12 defendants:
- 13 (a)(2)(B) the person to be examined has already been deposed in the case; or
- 14 (a)(2)(C) a party seeks to take a deposition before the time specified in Rule 26(d).
 - (a)(3) A party desiring to take a deposition upon written questions shall serve them upon every other party with a notice stating (1) the name and address of the person who is to answer them, if known, and if the name is not known, a general description sufficient to identify him or the particular class or group to which he belongs, and (2) the name or descriptive title and address of the officer before whom the deposition is to be taken. A deposition upon written questions may be taken of a public or private corporation or a partnership or association or governmental agency in accordance with the provisions of Rule 30(b)(6).
 - (a)(4) Within 14 days after the notice and written questions are served, a party may serve cross questions upon all other parties. Within 7 days after being served with cross questions, a party may serve redirect questions upon all other parties. Within 7 days after being served with redirect questions, a party may serve recross questions upon all other parties. The court may for cause shown enlarge or shorten the time.
 - (b) Officer to take responses and prepare record. A copy of the notice and copies of all questions served shall be delivered by the party taking the deposition to the officer designated in the notice, who shall proceed promptly, in the manner provided by Rule

Draft: November 13, 2009

- 31 30(c), (e), and (f), attaching to the deposition the copy of the notice and the questions
- 32 received.
- 33 Advisory Committee Notes

Rule 33. Interrogatories Written questions to parties.

- (a) Availability; procedures for use. Without leave of court or written stipulation, During initial fact discovery, any party may serve upon any other party up to 15 written interrogatories, not exceeding 25 in number questions, including all discrete subparts, to be answered by the party served or, if the party served is a public or private corporation, a partnership, an association, or a governmental agency, by any officer or agent, who shall furnish such information as is available to the party. Leave to serve additional interrogatories shall be granted to the extent consistent with the principles of Rule 26(b)(3). Without leave of court or written stipulation, interrogatories may not be served before the time specified in Rule 26(d).
- (b) Answers and objections.

- (b)(1) Each interrogatory question shall be answered separately and fully in writing under oath, or affirmation unless it is objected to, in which event the objecting If a question is objected to, the party shall state the reasons for the objection—and. Any reason not stated is waived unless excused by the court for good cause. The party shall answer to the extent the interrogatory any part of a question that is not objectionable. A question is not objectionable merely because an answer involves an opinion or argument that relates to fact or the application of law to fact.
- (b)(2) The <u>answering party shall serve the answers are to be signed by the person making them, and the objections signed by the attorney making them and objections within 28 days after service of the questions.</u>
- (b)(3) The party upon whom the interrogatories have been served shall serve a copy of the answers and objections, if any, within 30 days after the service of the interrogatories. A shorter or longer time may be ordered by the court or, in the absence of such an order, agreed to in writing by the parties subject to Rule 29.
- (b)(4) All grounds for an objection to an interrogatory shall be stated with specificity. Any ground not stated in a timely objection is waived unless the party's failure to object is excused by the court for good cause shown.
- 29 (b)(5) The party submitting the interrogatories may move for an order under Rule
 30 37(a) with respect to any objection to or other failure to answer an interrogatory.

(c) Scope; use at trial. <u>Interrogatories Questions</u> may relate to any <u>discoverable</u> matters which can be inquired into under Rule 26(b), and the answers. <u>Answers may be used to the extent as permitted by the Rules of Evidence.</u>

An interrogatory otherwise proper is not necessarily objectionable merely because an answer to the interrogatory involves an opinion or contention that relates to fact or the application of law to fact, but the court may order that such an interrogatory need not be answered until after designated discovery has been completed or until a pretrial conference or other later time.

(d) Option to produce business records. Where-If the answer to an interrogatory a question may be derived or ascertained from found by inspecting the answering party's business records, including electronically stored information, of the party upon whom the interrogatory has been served or from an examination, audit, or inspection of such business records, including a compilation, abstract, or summary thereof and the burden of deriving or ascertaining finding the answer is substantially the same for the party serving the interrogatory as for the party served both parties, it is a sufficient answer to such interrogatory to specify the answering party may identify the records from which the answer may be derived or ascertained and to afford to found. The answering party must give the asking party serving the interrogatory-reasonable opportunity to examine, audit, or inspect such inspect the records and to make copies, compilations, abstracts, or summaries. A specification shall be The answering party must identify the records in sufficient detail to permit the interrogating asking party to locate and to identify, them as readily as ean-the answering party-served, the records from which the answer may be ascertained.

Advisory Committee Notes

Rule 34. Production of documents and things and entry upon land for inspection and other purposes.

- (a) Scope. Any party may serve on any other party a request
- (a)(1) Any party may serve on any other party a request to produce and permit the requesting party making the request, or someone acting on his behalf, to inspect, copy, test or sample any designated discoverable documents, or electronically stored information or tangible things (including writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, sound recordings, images, and other data or data compilations stored in any medium from which information can be obtained, translated, if necessary, by the respondent into reasonably usable form), or to inspect, copy, test or sample any designated tangible things which constitute or contain matters within the scope of Rule 26(b) and which are in the possession, custody or control of the responding party upon whom the request is served; or.
- (a)(2) Any party may serve on any other party a request to permit entry upon designated land or other property in the possession or control of the responding party upon whom the request is served for the purpose of inspection and inspecting, measuring, surveying, photographing, testing, or sampling the property or any designated discoverable object or operation thereon, within the scope of Rule 26(b) on the property.
 - (b) Procedure and limitations.

- (b)(1) The request shall set forth identify the items to be inspected either by individual item or by category, and describe each item and category with reasonable particularity. During initial fact discovery, the request shall not cumulatively include more than 25 distinct items or categories of items. The request shall specify a reasonable date, time, place, and manner of making the inspection and performing the related acts. The request may specify the form or forms in which electronically stored information is to be produced. Without leave of court or written stipulation, a request may not be served before the time specified in Rule 26(d).
- (b)(2) The <u>responding</u> party upon whom the request is served shall serve a written response within 30-28 days after the service of the request. A shorter or longer time may be directed by the court or, in the absence of such an order, agreed to in writing by

the parties, subject to Rule 29.—The response shall state, with respect to each item or category, that inspection and related activities—acts will be permitted as requested, unless—or that the request is objected to _, including an objection to the requested form or forms for producing electronically stored information, stating If the party objects to a request, the party must state the reasons for the objection. If objection is made to part of an item or category, the part shall be specified and inspection permitted of the remaining parts. If objection is made Any reason not stated is waived unless excused by the court for good cause. The party shall identify and permit inspection of any part of a request that is not objectionable. If the party objects to the requested form or forms for producing electronically stored information -- or if no form was specified in the request -- the responding party must state the form or forms it intends to use. The party submitting the request may move for an order under Rule 37(a) with respect to any objection to or other failure to respond to the request or any part thereof, or any failure to permit inspection as requested.

- (b)(3) Unless the parties otherwise agree or the court otherwise orders:
- 47 (c) Form of documents and electronically stored information.
 - (b)(3)(A) a (c)(1) A party who produces documents for inspection shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the request.
 - (b)(3)(B) if (c)(2) If a request does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a responding party must produce the information in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable ; and
 - $\frac{(b)(3)(C)}{a}$ a $\underline{(c)(3)}$ A party need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
 - (c) Persons not parties. This rule does not preclude an independent action against a person not a party for production of documents and things and permission to enter upon land.
 - Advisory Committee Notes

Draft: November 13, 2009

Rule 35. Physical and mental examination of persons.

(a) Order for examination. When the mental or physical condition (including the blood group) or attribute of a party or of a person in the custody or under the legal control of a party is in controversy, the court in which the action is pending may order the party or person to submit to a physical or mental examination by a suitably licensed or certified examiner or to produce for examination the person in the party's custody or legal control, unless the party is unable to produce the person for examination. The order may be made only on motion for good cause shown, and upon notice to the person to be examined and to all parties and All papers related to the motion and notice of any hearing shall be served on a nonparty to be examined. The order shall specify the time, place, manner, conditions, and scope of the examination and the person or persons by whom it the examination is to be made. The person being examined may record the examination unless the party requesting the examination shows that the recording would unduly interfere with the examination.

(b) Report of examining physician.

(b)(1) If requested by a party against whom an order is made under Rule 35(a) or the person examined, the party causing the examination to be made shall deliver to the person examined and/or the other party a copy of a detailed written report of the examiner setting out the examiner's findings, including results of all tests made, diagnosis and conclusions, together with like reports of all earlier examinations of the same condition. After delivery the party causing the examination shall be entitled upon request to receive from the party against whom the order is made a like report of any examination, previously or thereafter made, of the same condition, unless, in the case of a report of examination of a person not a party, the party shows that the report cannot be obtained. The court on motion may order delivery of a report on such terms as are just. If an examiner fails or refuses to make a report, the court on motion may take any action authorized by Rule 37(b)(2).

(b)(2) (b) Waiver of privilege. By requesting and obtaining a report of the examination so ordered or by taking the deposition of the examiner the examiner's report, the party examined waives any privilege the party may have in that action or any other involving the same controversy, regarding the testimony of every other person

who has examined or may thereafter examine the party in respect of about the same mental or physical condition. Question: Delete all of (b) and instead: If the party requesting the examination wishes to call the examiner as a witness, the party shall disclose an expert report as required by Rule 26(a)(3).

(b)(3) This subdivision applies to examinations made by agreement of the parties, unless the agreement expressly provides otherwise. This subdivision does not preclude discovery of a report of any other examiner or the taking of a deposition of an examiner in accordance with the provisions of any other rule.

(c) Right of party examined to other medical reports. At the time of making an order to submit to an examination under Subdivision (a), the court shall, upon motion of the party to be examined, order the party seeking such examination to furnish to the party to be examined a report of any examination previously made or medical treatment previously given by any examiner employed directly or indirectly by the party seeking the order for a physical or mental examination, or at whose instance or request such medical examination or treatment has previously been conducted.

(d) (c) Sanctions.

(d)(1)-If a party or a person in the custody or under the legal control of a party fails to obey an order entered under Subdivision paragraph (a), the court on motion may take any action authorized by Rule 37(b)(2), except that the failure cannot be treated as contempt of court.

(d)(2) If a party fails to obey an order entered under Subdivision (c), the court on motion may take any action authorized by Rule 37(b)(2).

Draft: November 13, 2009

Rule 36. Request for admission.

(a) Request for admission.

(a)(1) A party may serve upon any other party a written request for the admission, for purpose of the pending action only, of to admit the truth of any discoverable matters within the scope of Rule 26(b) set forth in the request that, including the genuineness of any document. The matter must relate to statements or opinions of fact or of the application of law to fact, including the genuineness of any documents described in the request. Each matter shall be separately stated. During initial fact discovery, a party may not request admission of more than 25 matters. A copy of the document shall be served with the request unless it has already been furnished or made available for inspection and copying. The request for admission shall contain a notice advising notify the responding party to whom the request is made that, pursuant to Rule 36, the matters shall will be deemed admitted unless said request is responded to the party responds within 30-28 days after service of the request-or within such shorter or longer time as the court may allow. Copies of documents shall be served with the request unless they have been or are otherwise furnished or made available for inspection and copying. Without leave of court or written stipulation, requests for admission may not be served before the time specified in Rule 26(d).

(b) Answer or objection.

(b)(1) (a)(2) Each matter of which an admission is requested shall be separately set forth. The matter is admitted unless, within thirty 28 days after service of the request, or within such shorter or longer time as the court may allow, the responding party to whom the request is directed serves upon the requesting party requesting the admission a written answer or objection, addressed to the matter, signed by the party or by his attorney, but, unless the court shortens the time, a defendant shall not be required to serve answers or objections before the expiration of 45 days after service of the summons and complaint upon him. If objection is made, the reasons therefor shall be stated. The answer shall specifically deny the matter or set forth in detail the reasons why the answering party cannot truthfully admit or deny the matter. A denial shall fairly meet the substance of the requested admission, and when good faith requires that a party qualify his answer or deny only a part of the matter of which an admission is

requested, he shall specify so much of it as is true and qualify or deny the remainder. An answering party may not give lack of information or knowledge as a reason for failure to admit or deny unless he states that he has made reasonable inquiry and that the information known or readily obtainable by him is insufficient to enable him to admit or deny. A party who considers that a matter of which an admission has been requested presents a genuine issue for trial may not, on that ground alone, object to the request; he may, subject to the provisions of Rule 37(c), deny the matter or set forth reasons why he cannot admit or deny it.

(a)(3) The party who has requested the admissions may move to determine the sufficiency of the answers or objections. Unless the court determines that an objection is justified, it shall order that an answer be served. If the court determines that an answer does not comply with the requirements of this rule, it may order either that the matter is admitted or that an amended answer be served. The court may, in lieu of these orders, determine that final disposition of the request be made at a pretrial conference or at a designated time prior to trial. The provisions of Rule 37(a)(4) apply to the award of expenses incurred in relation to the motion.

(b)(2) Unless the answering party objects to a matter, the party must admit or deny the matter or state in detail the reasons why the party cannot truthfully admit or deny. A party may identify the part of a matter which is true and deny the rest. A denial shall fairly meet the substance of the request. Lack of information is not a reason for failure to admit or deny unless the information known or reasonably available is insufficient to form an admission or denial. If the truth of a matter is a genuine issue for trial, the answering party may deny the matter or state the reasons for the failure to admit or deny.

(b)(3) If the party objects to a matter, the party shall state the reasons for the objection. Any reason not stated is waived unless excused by the court for good cause. The party shall admit or deny any part of a matter that is not objectionable. It is not grounds for objection that the truth of a matter is a genuine issue for trial.

(c) Sanctions for failure to admit. If a party fails to admit the truth of any discoverable matter set forth in the request, and if the requesting party proves the truth of the matter, the requesting party may move for an order requiring the other party to pay the

Draft: November 13, 2009

reasonable expenses of proving the matter, including reasonable attorney fees. The court shall enter the order unless it finds that:

(c)(1) the request was held objectionable;

(c)(2) the admission sought was not substantially important;

(c)(3) the responding party had reason to believe the truth of the matter was a genuine issue for trial; or

(c)(4) there were other good reasons for the failure to admit.

(b) (d) Effect of admission. Any matter admitted under this rule is conclusively established unless the court on motion permits withdrawal or amendment of the admission. Subject to the provisions of Rule 16 governing amendment of a pretrial order, the The court may permit withdrawal or amendment when if the presentation of the merits of the action will be subserved thereby promoted and the party who obtained the admission fails to satisfy the court that withdrawal or amendment will not prejudice him in maintaining his action or defense on the merits the requesting party. Any admission made by a party under this rule is for the purpose of the pending action only. and It is not an admission by him for any other purpose, nor may it be used against him in any other proceeding action.

Advisory Committee Notes

1 Rule 37. Failure to make or cooperate in <u>disclosure or discovery</u>; sanctions.

- (a) Motion for order compelling <u>disclosure or discovery</u>. A party, upon reasonable notice to other parties and all persons affected thereby, may apply for an order compelling discovery as follows:
- (a)(1) Appropriate court. An application for an order to a party may be made to the court in which the action is pending, or, on matters relating to a deposition, to the court in the district where the deposition is being taken. An application for an order to a deponent who is not a party shall be made to the court in the district where the deposition is being taken.
- 10 $\frac{(a)(2)}{(a)}(1)$ Motion.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

27

28

29

- 11 (a)(2)(A) If a party fails to make a disclosure required by Rule 26(a), any other A

 12 party may move to compel disclosure or discovery and for appropriate sanctions if

 13 another party:
- (a)(1)(A) makes an evasive, incomplete or insufficient disclosure or response to a
 request for discovery;
 - (a)(1)(B) fails to disclose, fails to respond to a discovery request, fails to supplement a disclosure or response or makes a supplemental disclosure or response without an adequate explanation of why the additional or correct information was not previously provided;
- 20 (a)(1)(C) objects to a request for discovery;
- 21 (a)(1)(D) impedes, delays, or frustrates the fair examination of a witness; or
- 22 (a)(1)(E) otherwise fails to make full and complete disclosure or discovery.
- (a)(2) Appropriate court. A motion may be made to the court in which the action is
 pending, or, on matters relating to a deposition, to the court in the district where the
 deposition is being taken. A motion for an order to a nonparty witness shall be made to
 the court in the district where the deposition is being taken.
 - (a)(3) The motion must include movant must attach a copy of the request for discovery or the response at issue and a certification that the movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with the party not making the disclosure or discovery in an effort to secure the disclosure or discovery without court action.

(a)(2)(B) If a deponent fails to answer a question propounded or submitted under Rule 30 or 31, or a corporation or other entity fails to make a designation under Rule 30(b)(6) or 31(a), or a party fails to answer an interrogatory submitted under Rule 33, or if a party, in response to a request for inspection submitted under Rule 34, fails to respond that inspection will be permitted as requested or fails to permit inspection as requested, the discovering party may move for an order compelling an answer, or a designation, or an order compelling inspection in accordance with the request. The motion must include a certification that the movant has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with the person or party failing to make the discovery in an effort to secure the information or material without court action. When taking a deposition on oral examination, the proponent of the question may complete or adjourn the examination before applying for an order.

(a)(3) Evasive or incomplete disclosure, answer, or response. For purposes of this subdivision an evasive or incomplete disclosure, answer, or response is to be treated as a failure to disclose, answer, or respond.

(a)(4) Expenses and sanctions.

(a)(4)(A) If the motion is granted, or if the disclosure or requested discovery is provided after the motion was filed, the court shall, after opportunity for hearing response, require the party or deponent witness whose conduct necessitated the motion or the party or attorney advising such conduct or both of them to pay to the moving party the reasonable expenses incurred in obtaining the order, including attorney fees, unless the court finds that the motion was filed without the movant's first making did not make a good faith effort to obtain the disclosure or discovery without court action, or that the opposing party's nondisclosure, response, or objection was substantially justified, or that other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust.

(a)(4)(B) If the motion is denied, the court may enter any protective order authorized under Rule 26(c) and shall, after opportunity for hearing response, require the moving party or the attorney or both of them to pay to the party or deponent witness who opposed the motion the reasonable expenses incurred in opposing the motion, including attorney fees, unless the court finds that the making of the motion was substantially justified or that other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust.

- (a)(4)(C) If the motion is granted in part and denied in part, the court may enter any protective order authorized under Rule 26(c) and may, after opportunity for hearing response, apportion the reasonable expenses incurred in relation to the motion among the parties and persons in a just manner.
 - (b) Failure to comply with order.

- (b)(1) Sanctions by court in district where deposition is taken. If a deponent fails to be sworn or to answer a question after being directed to do so by Failure to follow an order of the court in the district in which the deposition is being taken, the failure may be considered a is contempt of that court.
- (b)(2) Sanctions by court in which action is pending. If a party fails to obey an order entered under Rule 16(b) or if a party or an officer, director, or managing agent of a party or a person designated under Rule 30(b)(6) or 31(a) to testify on behalf of a party fails to obey an order to provide or permit discovery, including an order made under Subdivision (a) of this rule or Rule 35, unless Unless the court finds that the failure was substantially justified, the court in which the action is pending may take such action in regard to the failure to follow its orders as are just, including the following:
- (b)(2)(A) deem the matter or any other designated facts to be established for the purposes of the action in accordance with the claim of the party obtaining the order;
- (b)(2)(B) prohibit the disobedient party from supporting or opposing designated claims or defenses or from introducing designated matters into evidence;
- (b)(2)(C) strike pleadings or parts thereof, stay further proceedings until the order is obeyed;
- (b)(2)(D) dismiss all or part of the action or proceeding or any part thereof, strike all or part of the pleadings, or render judgment by default against the disobedient party on all or part of the action;
- $\frac{(b)(2)(D)}{(b)(2)(E)}$ order the party or the attorney to pay the reasonable expenses, including attorney fees, caused by the failure;
- (b)(2)(E) (b)(2)(F) treat the failure to obey an order, other than an order to submit to a physical or mental examination, as contempt of court; and
- $\frac{(b)(2)(F) \cdot (b)(2)(G)}{(b)(2)(G)}$ instruct the jury regarding an adverse inference.

(c) Expenses on failure to admit. If a party fails to admit the genuineness of any document or the truth of any matter as requested under Rule 36, and if the party requesting the admissions thereafter proves the genuineness of the document or the truth of the matter, the party requesting the admissions may apply to the court for an order requiring the other party to pay the reasonable expenses incurred in making that proof, including reasonable attorney fees. The court shall make the order unless it finds that (1) the request was held objectionable pursuant to Rule 36(a), or (2) the admission sought was of no substantial importance, or (3) the party failing to admit had reasonable ground to believe that he might prevail on the matter, or (4) there was other good reason for the failure to admit.

- (d) Failure of party to attend at own deposition or serve answers to interrogatories or respond to request for inspection. If a party or an officer, director, or managing agent of a party or a person designated under Rule 30(b)(6) or 31(a) to testify on behalf of a party fails (1) to appear before the officer who is to take the deposition, after being served with a proper notice, or (2) to serve answers or objections to interrogatories submitted under Rule 33, after proper service of the interrogatories, or (3) to serve a written response to a request for inspection submitted under Rule 34, after proper service of the request, the court on motion may take any action authorized by Subdivision (b)(2).
- The failure to act described in this subdivision may not be excused on the ground that the discovery sought is objectionable unless the party failing to act has applied for a protective order as provided by Rule 26(c).
- (e) Failure to participate in the framing of a discovery plan. If a party or attorney fails to participate in good faith in the framing of a discovery plan by agreement as is required by Rule 26(f), the court on motion may take any action authorized by Subdivision (b)(2).
- (f) Failure to disclose. If a party fails to disclose a witness, document or other material as required by Rule 26(a) or Rule 26(e)(1), or to amend a prior response to discovery as required by Rule 26(e)(2), that party shall not be permitted to use the witness, document or other material at any hearing unless the failure to disclose is harmless or the party shows good cause for the failure to disclose. In addition to or in

Draft: November 13, 2009

lieu of this sanction, the court on motion may take any action authorized by Subdivision (b)(2).

(g) (c) Failure to preserve evidence. Nothing in this rule limits the inherent power of the court to take any action authorized by Subdivision paragraph (b)(2) if a party destroys, conceals, alters, tampers with or fails to preserve a document, tangible item, electronic data or other evidence in violation of a duty. Absent exceptional circumstances, a court may not impose sanctions under these rules on a party for failing to provide electronically stored information lost as a result of the routine, good-faith operation of an electronic information system.

Advisory Committee Notes